

United States Patent and Trademark Office

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/779,717	02/18/2004	Tsuyoshi Torii	FU020004-US	1730	
21254 7590 12/21/2006 MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			EXAMINER		
			COUGHLAN, PETER D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2129		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/21/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/779,717	TORII ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Coughlan	2129	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
REPLY FILED 05 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE T	HIS APPLICATION IN CONI	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to o this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in confollowing time periods:	ollowing replies: (1) an amen Notice of Appeal (with appe	dment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41	vhich 1.31; or

	Peter Coughlan	2129					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no expert, however, will the estatutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS		-·					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	•	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:		•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .		•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
			•				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

*Continuation of 3. NOTE: These claims introduce a 'plurality of second neural networks' which is not in the last set of claims. The Examiner wants to remind the applicant that material presented in the claims must be addressed or explained within the specification. With this in mind the Examiner could not find within the specification a 'plurality of (implied separate) neural networks. The closest the Examiner could find is in applicant's 0033 which states 'The vehicle motion model unit 20 includes one or more estimating modules' where each 'module' contains a RNN. The description in 0033 states that 'model unit 20 includes one or more estimating modules.' is not equivalent to 'includes plural second recurrent neural networks.' The difference is that the specification allows for a single second neural network and the amended claims exclude a single second neural network.

All of the applicant's arguments from page 10 through page 22 are based on the exclusion of a single second neural network.